

# CABINET MEMBER DECISION

## Decision:

### (i) Details of decision

#### **SPEED LIMIT IN RED ROAD, LIGHTWATER**

- (1) That the decision to introduce a 40mph speed limit between The Maultway and Lightwater Road along Red Road not be endorsed.
- (2) That the recommended outcome proposed by officers in the report to the Local Committee be approved as follows:
  - (i) *approve advertising of a Traffic Regulation Order to enable implementation of a 50mph speed limit over the following lengths of road (as shown in Annex 1 attached to the report):*
    - *the complete length of Red Road*
    - *the short length of Lightwater Road between its junction with Red Road and the start of the existing 40mph speed limit;*
  - (ii) *approve the revoking of any existing Traffic Orders necessary to implement the above changes;*
  - (iii) *approve that any objections to the Traffic Regulation Order should be considered and resolved by the Area Team Manager for Highways in consultation with the Divisional Member and Chairman, and that this only returned to Committee if any objections prove insurmountable;*
  - (iv) *approve that once any objections have been considered and resolved, that the Order be made.*
  - (v) *that the Local Committee agree and approve funding for this item.*
- (3) The planned introduction of additional road safety measures, including enhancements to signage and road markings in Red Road, having been identified as part of the provisional Safety Engineering programme of works for 2012/13 for delivery through the new £200,000 Countywide Safety Scheme budget, be noted.
- (4) The operation of the scheme be reviewed one year after implementation of the safety engineering programme.

### (ii) Reasons for decision

A 40mph speed limit is considered to be inappropriate for Red Road for the reasons set out in the report and is not supported by the Police or Officers. Accident data indicates that majority of incidents on the road had not been related to speed and specific road safety measures were planned at accident cluster locations.

### (iii) Details of any alternative options considered and rejected

None

**(iv) Details of any consultation and representations received not included in the published report**

Mr David Ivison, a local Member, made representations on behalf of the Surrey Heath Local Committee.

**Conflicts of Interest**

**(Any conflict of interest declared by any other Cabinet Member consulted in relation to the decision to be recorded)**

None

**Dispensation**

**(Any dispensation granted by the Standards Committee in respect of any declared conflict of interest to be noted)**

None

**Decision taken by:**

- (i) Name:** Ian Lake
- (ii) Portfolio:** Transport and Environment

**Date of Decision:** 25 October 2011

**Date of Publication of Record of Decision:** 25 October 2011

**Date decision effective (i.e. 5 days after date of publication of record of decision unless subject to call-in by the Environment and Transport Select Committee):** 2 November 2011